# Note <br> The Determination of Incomplete Gamma Functions Through Analytic Integration 

## 1. Introduction

In a recent note [6] it was shown that accurate values of the exponential integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(x)=\int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-x t} t^{-n} d t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be obtained in the case of $E_{1}(x)$ thru a recursive procedure in the argument which will be termed analytic integration. The occurrence of these functions in the reduction of multi-center integrals is well known [5].

In order to establish the algorithmic reliability of analytic integration for our functions we shall discuss a remarkable error cancellation phenomenon. We shall consider the more general class of incomplete gamma functions defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(s, x)=e^{x} \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-x t} t^{s-1} d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(x)>0$. When $n$ is a positive integer then one has $E_{n}(x)=e^{-x} \varphi(-n+1, x)$. One has the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(s, x-h)=e^{-h}\left(\varphi(s, x)+h \varphi(s+1, x)+h^{2} \varphi(s+2, x) / 2!+\cdots\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convergence of this series will be cxamined later. The relation $\varphi(s+1, x)=$ $(1+s q(s, x)) / x$ allows one to introduce affinc lincar operators $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, \ldots$ by setting $T_{n}(\omega)-(1+(s+n-1) \omega) / x$. One thus has $T_{n} T_{n-1} \cdots T_{1}(\varphi(s, x))=$ $\varphi(s+n, x)$.

We set $y=x+h$ and define the operator series

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{x, y}=e^{-h}\left(I+h T_{x}+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} T_{1} T_{2}+\cdots\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain a transition operator with the property that $T_{\alpha, y}(\varphi(s, x))=\varphi(s, y)$. It is thus appropriate to call $T_{x, y}$ the analytic continuation operator relating $\varphi(s, x)$ and $\varphi(s, y)$.

## Qualitative description of the main results

(1) The series which defines the continuation operator converges if $|h / x|<1$, where $h=x-y$.
(2) When all the variables are real then $T_{x, y}$ is error correcting when all the variables are real and $y<x$.

The continuation operator method has high precision for the entire range of real parameters $s$, whether negative or positive, and all positive arguments $x$. The method is especially attractive whenever there is need for multiple evaluations of $\varphi(s, x)$ with fixed parameter $s$ and variable argument $x$.

In computer programs it was expedient to evalaute the modified series $T_{x, y}=$ $e^{-h}\left(I+c S_{1}+c^{2} S_{2} S_{1}+\cdots\right)$ where $c=(x-y) / x$ and $S_{n}=M_{n}^{-1} T_{n} M_{n-1}$ with $M_{n}(\omega)=\omega x^{n} / n!$. Programs in ALGOL are available on request.

## 2. Analysis of the Continuation Operator

Let $T$ be a function on an open complex domain $D$. When $T(\omega)$ is not zero then the formula $T(\omega(1+\epsilon))=T(\omega)(1+0 \epsilon)$ defines a number $\theta=\theta(\omega)$ which is called the stability factor of $T$ at $\omega$. When $T$ is affine linear then $\theta=\omega T^{\prime}(\omega) / T(\omega)=$ $(T(\omega(1+\epsilon))-T(\omega)) / T(\omega) \epsilon$. If $|\theta(\omega)|<1$ then $T$ is said to be error correcting at $\omega$ and if $|\theta(\omega)|>1$ then $T$ is said to be error mangifying at $\omega$.

If $S$ and $T$ are two differentiable functions such that $T(\omega)$ and $S T(\omega)$ are distinct from zero then one has the chain rule $\theta_{S T}(\omega)=\theta_{S}(T \omega) \theta_{T}(\omega)$.

Example 2.1. We shall give a quantitative description of the instability which occurs in the recursive generation of incomplete gamme functions. Let $T=$ $T_{n} T_{n-1} \cdots T_{1}$. One has $T(\varphi(s, x))=\varphi(s+n, x)$ and thus our recursion proceeds in reverse to the direction considered in [6]. One has $\theta_{T-1}(\omega)=\theta_{T}(\omega)^{-1}$ and thus our stability picture in comparison with that example is also reversed.

Use of the chain rule leads to the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{T}(\varphi(s, x))=\varphi(s, x) \varphi(s+n, x)^{-1} x^{-n} s(s+1) \cdots(s+n-1) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The continued fraction appearing in [4] allows one to write $\varphi(s, x)=1 /(x+$ $\omega(1-s) /(\omega+1))$. On the assumption that $x$ is positive and $s$ is real one has $\omega>0$. When $s<1$ one thus has the estimate $(x+1)^{-1}<\varphi(s, x)<x^{-1}$.

One now deduces the easy estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\theta_{T}(\varphi(-n, x))\right| \geqslant n!x^{-n} . \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $x=1$. When the residual error in computer representation of numbers is
of relative magnitude $10^{-11}$ then a choice of $n=15$ will suffice to guarantee total uncertainty of all digits in the computed number $T(\varphi(-n, x))$.

When $a=\operatorname{Re}(s)$ is positive then one has the estimate $|\varphi(s, x)| \leqslant e^{u}|x|^{-a} \Gamma(a)$, where $u=\operatorname{Re}(x)$. When $a+n$ is positive one thus has the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{k \geqslant n} h^{k} \varphi(s+k, x) / k!\right| \leqslant e^{u}|x|^{-a} \sum_{k \geqslant n}|c|^{k} \Gamma(a+k) / k! \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=h / x$ and $h=x-y$. When $|c|<1$ the operator series (4) thus converges at the point $\varphi(s, x)$.

Theorem 2.2. The operator series

$$
T_{x, y}=e^{-\hbar}\left(I+h T_{1}+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} T_{2} T_{1}+\cdots\right)
$$

where $h=x-y$, converges at every point when $|h| x \mid<1$.
Proof. It suffices to demonstrate that the operator series converges at $\omega(1+\epsilon)$ where $\omega$ is a point where the series is known to converge. Let $H_{n}=T_{n} T_{n-1} \cdots T_{1}$. We shall now consider

$$
S=h^{n} H_{n} / n!+h^{n+1} H_{n+1} /(n+1)!+\cdots+h^{n+m} H_{n+m} /(n+m)!
$$

In the estimate $|S(\omega(1+\epsilon))| \leqslant|S(\omega)|+|S(\omega(1+\epsilon))-S(\omega)|$ the quantity $S(\omega)$ is a portion of a convergent series. Moreover, one has that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\omega(1+\epsilon))-S(\omega)=\sum_{k=n}^{n+m}(-c)^{x}\binom{-S}{k} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=h / x$. This sum is a portion of the expansion of $(1-c)^{-s}$. It follows that our operator series converges at every point.

Theorem 2.3. If $|h| x \mid<1$ then the stability factor of the continuation operator $T_{x, y}$ at $\omega=\varphi(s, x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(x, y)=e^{-\hbar h} \varphi(s, x) \varphi(s, y)^{-1}(y / x)^{-s} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h=x-y$.
Proof. Let $S=T_{x, y}$. One obtains from (8) that
$S(\omega(1+\epsilon))-S(\omega)=e^{-h}\left(1-\binom{-S}{1} c+\binom{-S}{2} c^{2} \pm \cdots\right) \omega e=e^{-h}(y / x)^{-s} \omega \epsilon$.

## 3. Extension of the Continuation Operators

We shall extend the operator $T_{x, y}$ to arbitrary positive real values $x$ and $y$ and we shall evade some technicalities found in the complex case.

DEFINTION 3.1. If $T_{x, z}$ and $T_{z, y}$ satisfy $|(x-z)| x \mid<1$ and $|(z-y) / z|<1$ then one can set $T_{x, y}=T_{z, y} T_{x, z}$ provided $T_{x, y}$ is independent of $z$. An operator $T_{x, y}$ defined thus thru an arbitrary finite composition with the original operators will be called an extended continuation operator with arguments $x$ and $y$.

Theorem 3.2. The extended continuation operator $T_{x, y}$ with positive real arguments is independent of the decomposition used to define it. These operators satisfy the transitivity relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{x, y}=T_{z, y} T_{x, z} \tag{1i}
\end{equation*}
$$

At $\varphi(s, x)$ the operator $T_{x, y}$ has the stability factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(x, y)=e^{-h} \varphi(s, x) \varphi(s, y)^{-1}(y / x)^{-s} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $S=S_{n} S_{n-1} \cdots S_{1}$ and $T=T_{m} T_{m-1} \cdots T_{1}$ denote two decompositions corresponding to the interval determined by $x$ and $y$ in terms of the original operators so that $S(\varphi(s, x))=T(\varphi(s, x))=\varphi(s, y)$.

The chain rule, formula (9), and collapsing multiplication yield that $\theta(x, y)=$ $e^{-h} \varphi(s, x) \varphi(s, y)^{-1}(y / x)^{-s}$ is the stability factor for both $S$ and $T$ at $\omega=\varphi(s, x)$, One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\omega(1+\epsilon)))=S(\omega)(1+\theta(x, y) \epsilon)=T(\omega)(1+\theta(x, y) \epsilon)=T(\omega(1+\epsilon)) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thru variation of $\epsilon$ one obtains that $S=T$ at every point. The general transitivity property is also immediate.

Remarks on the case of complex arguments. The uniqueness in the above theorem depends on the uniqueness of the value $\varphi(s, x)$ for real positive $x$. In the complex case the extended operators $T_{x, y}$ will depend on the paths chosen on a Riemann surface. Moreover, the transitivity property will not hold in general.

Corollary 3.3. If $s$ is a real parameter and $0<y \leqslant x$ then the continuation operator $T_{x, y}$ is error correcting.

Proof. One evaluates to find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta(x, y) & =e^{-h} \varphi(s, x) \varphi(s, y)^{-1}(y / x)^{-s} \\
& =\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{s-1} d t / \int_{y}^{\infty} e^{-t_{t} t^{s-1}} d t<1 \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. The Remarkable Error Cancellation Phenomenon

W have already demonstrated that most terms in the computed series $e^{-h}(\omega+$ $h T_{1}(\omega)+h^{2} T^{2} T_{1}(\omega) / 2!+\cdots$ ) can loose all numerical significance due to recursion error. What is remarkable is that the sum of such terms can be correct. This phenomenon is in part explained thru a calculation. For $H_{n}=h^{n} T_{n} T_{n-1} \cdots T_{1} / n$ ! one has $H_{n}(\omega(1+\epsilon))-H_{n}(\omega)=(-c)^{n}\binom{-s}{n} \omega \epsilon$. When $s<0$ these terms may be large; however, their sum $(1-c)^{-s} \omega \in$ is small.
Introduction of error into the series at a later stage leads to a generalization of our stability formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=e^{-h}\left(h^{m} I / m!+h^{m+1} T_{m+1} /(m+1)!+h^{m+2} T_{m+2} T_{m+1} /(m+2)!+\cdots\right)  \tag{15}\\
& S=e^{-h}\left(I+h T_{1}+\cdots+h^{m-1} T_{m-1} T_{m-2} \cdots T_{1} /(m-1)!\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

allows us to define $U(\alpha)=S(\omega)+R(\alpha)$. When $\omega=\varphi(s, x)$ and $\alpha=\varphi(s+m, x)$ then $U(\alpha)=\varphi(s, y)$ and one has the fomula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{V}(\alpha)=e^{-h} \varphi(s+m, x) \varphi(s, y)^{-1} F(s+m, 1, m+1, c) h^{m} / m! \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad F(s+, 1, m+1, c)=1+c(s+m) /(m+1)+c^{2}(s+m)(s+m+1) /$ $(m+1)(m+2)+\cdots$ is a Gauss hypergoemetric series. In the absence of a generalization of (14) it is nevertheless possible to check that $\theta_{U}(\alpha)$ is small (when $\varphi(s, y)$ is computed from $\varphi(s, r)$ with $0 \leqslant y \leqslant x)$ by making an actual evaluation of $\theta_{U}(\alpha)$.

## 5. Numerical Examples

Let truncated versions of (3) and (4) be denoted by

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{n} & =e^{-h}\left(\varphi(s, x)+h \varphi(s+1, x)+\cdots+h^{n} \varphi(s+n, x) / n!\right)  \tag{18}\\
r_{n} & =e^{-h}\left(I+h T_{1}+\cdots+h^{n} T_{n} T_{n-1} \cdots T_{1} / n!\right)(\varphi(s, x)) . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Examination of the stability formulas (12) and (17) shows that one can expect to produce numerical evidence of error cancellation only when $s$ is negative and $x$ is small. The table below came from a computer experiment where the arithmetic was accurate to eleven decimal digits.

TABLE I

| $n$ | $r_{n}$ | $s_{n}$ | $\left(s_{n}-r_{n}\right) / s_{n}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.4105328406 | 1.4105328407 | $3.2(-11)$ |  |
| 6 | 1.7834376109 | 1.7834372653 | $-1.9(-7)$ | $x=1 / 2$ |
| 11 | 1.7834219945 | 1.7834398441 | $1.0(-5)$ | $y=116$ |
| 16 | 1.7834674305 | 1.7834398441 | $-1.6(-5)$ | $s=-31.5$ |
| 21 | 1.7834381685 | 1.7834398441 | $9.4(-7)$ |  |
| 26 | 1.7834398464 | 1.7834398441 | $-1.3(-9)$ |  |
| 31 | 1.7834398441 | 1.7834398441 | $2.6(-11)$ |  |
| 1 | 1.3098199998 | 1.3098199998 | 0 | $x=20$ |
| 6 | 8.2957465419 | 8.2957465418 | $-1.4(-11)$ |  |
| 11 | 1.6593509080 | 1.6593509080 | $-7.0(-12)$ | $x=20 \cdot 2 / 3$ |
| 16 | 1.7377817091 | 1.7377817092 | $-6.7(-12)$ | $s=-31.5$ |
| 21 | 1.7390682648 | 1.7390682648 | $-6.7(-12)$ |  |
| 26 | 1.7390738449 | 1.7390838448 | $-6.7(-12)$ |  |
| 31 | 1.7390738533 | 1.7390738533 | $-6.7(-12)$ |  |

TABLE II

| $\omega=\varphi(s, x)=1.7937683115(-1)$ | $s=-2$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $T_{x, y}(\omega)=x=4.7689685759(-1)$ | $\theta(x, y)=10^{-6}$ | $x=3$ |
| $T_{y, x}(\alpha)=\omega=1.7937683459(-1)$ | $\theta(y, x)=10^{6}$ | $y=3(3.4)^{14}$ |
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